

1
2
3
4
5
6
7

8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 SACRAMENTO DIVISION

11
12
13
14
15
16
17

PERRY LEE SISCO,

Plaintiff,

No. 2:05-CV-0867-GEB-JFM (PC)

ORDER

v.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

Defendant.

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Defendants have requested a 14-day extension of time to and including December 15, 2006, to file a motion for summary judgment. The court finds good cause and orders as follows:

1. Defendants' request for an extension of time is granted;
2. Defendants' December 13, 2006 motion for summary judgment is deemed timely filed;
3. Plaintiff's opposition to defendants' motion shall be filed and served within twenty-one days from the date of this order and plaintiff is referred to the provisions of this court's order filed October 13, 2005 with respect to the requirements for opposing a motion for summary judgment pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(f); and

/////
/////

Order

1 4. Defendants' reply brief, if any shall be filed not later than seven days after
2 plaintiff's opposition is filed.

3 Dated: December 21, 2006.

4
5 
6 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

7 /sisc0867.ext
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28